UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,877	08/02/2006	Michael G. Goggins	61506(71699)	1113
	7590	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 5587	<i>1</i> 4	WHISENANT, ETHAN C		
BOSTON, MA	02205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/561,877	,	GOGGINS ET AL.		
Office Action S	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Ethan Whis	enant, Ph.D.	1634		
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication a	ppears on the	cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, F - Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin - If NO period for reply is specified abov - Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 3	ROM THE MAILING ander the provisions of 37 CFR of date of this communication. It is, the maximum statutory period period for reply will, by state than three months after the main three main three months after the main three months after the main three months after the main three mai	DATE OF THI 1.136(a). In no ever od will apply and will ute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION It, however, may a reply be the expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the total content of the expire ABANDONE attention to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
Responsive to communication is FINAL. 3) Since this application is closed in accordance visconians.	2b)∏ Tr s in condition for allow	nis action is no vance except f	or formal matters, pr		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims						
4)	s) is/are withdo illowed. <u>17-24</u> is/are rejected. objected to.	rawn from con	sideration.			
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objection 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request Replacement drawing should be a second or declaration	22 DEC 05 is/are: a) that any objection to the eet(s) including the corre	☑ accepted one drawing(s) be ection is required	held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CF	, ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr 3) Information Disclosure Statement(Paper No(s)/Mail Date	awing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 1634

FINAL ACTION

1. The applicant's response (filed 17 FEB 06) to the Office Action has been entered. Following the entry of the claim amendment(s), Claim(s) 1-4, 6-14 and 17-24 is/are pending. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from the previous office action are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either newly applied or reiterated. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

SEQUENCE RULES

2. This application now complies with the sequence rules and the sequences have been entered by the Scientific and Technical Information Center.

35 USC § 112- 2nd Paragraph

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

CLAIM REJECTIONS under 35 USC § 112-2ND PARAGRAPH

4. Claim(s) 1-4 6-14 and 17 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1634

Claim 1 is indefinite because of the phrase "corresponding to". The type and degree of correspondence can not be determined therefore the metes and bounds of the claimed invention cannot be determined.

35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that may form the basis for rejections set forth in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 6. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 1634

CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 USC § 102

7. Claim(s) 18-24 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goggins et al. [WO 02/068694 (SEP 04)].

Goggins et al. teach a method of detecting a methylated CpG-containing SPARC nucleic acid comprising all of the limitations recited in Claim 18. In addition, Goggins et al. teach a method of diagnosing cancer as recited in Claim 24.

RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S AMENDMENT/ ARGUMENTS

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claimed invention have been fully and carefully considered but are not deemed to be persuasive. The applicant has traversed the 102(b) rejection over Goggins arguing that Goggins et al. do not teach "wherein the methylated SPARC nucleic acid molecule comprises a sequence corresponding to the nucleic acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 1 (Figure 6). It is noted that the limitation relied on for patentability is not present in Claims 18 or 24 furthermore this phrase is indefinite in that the type and degree of correspondence can not be determined therefore the metes and bounds of the claimed invention cannot be determined.

CONCLUSION

9. Claim(s) 1-4, 6-14 and 17-24 is/are rejected and/or objected to for the reason(s) set forth above.

Art Unit: 1634

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ethan Whisenant, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0754. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30AM - 5:30PM EST or any time via voice mail. If repeated attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla, can be reached at (571) 272-0735.

The Central Fax number for the USPTO is (571) 273-8300. Please note that the faxing of papers must conform with the Notice to Comply published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

/Ethan Whisenant/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1634